## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

Shamerka Moody	
Plaintiff(s),	CASE NO. 07-06073 MHP
V. Charming Shoppes of Delaware, Inc., et al.	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER SELECTING ADR PROCESS
Defendant(s).	
Counsel report that they have met an following stipulation pursuant to Civil L.R.	nd conferred regarding ADR and have reached the 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5:
The parties agree to participate in the follow	ving ADR process:
Court Processes:  Non-binding Arbitration (AE Early Neutral Evaluation (EN Mediation (ADR L.R. 6)  (Note: Parties who believe that an early settle	DR L.R. 4) NE) (ADR L.R. 5)  Ilement conference with a Magistrate Judge is
Tr solder more likely to mppi inpir noone	than any other form of ADR, must participate in an
Private Process:	
✓ Private ADR (please identify)	process and provider) Private mediation
Parties have not yet agreed on a mediator. Plaintiff re	equests mediation deadline be set by Court at the scheduling a mediation deadline at this point, given status of pleadings.
The parties agree to hold the ADR session by	<i>r</i> .
the presumptive deadline (The	deadline is 90 days from the data of the and
referring the case to an ADR p	process unless otherwise ordered.)
✓ other requested deadline <u>See page</u>	arties' respective positions set forth above
Dated: 3/7/08	
	Larry W. Lee
	Attorney for Plaintiff
Dated: 3/7/08	Eric Meckley
	Attorney for Defendant

[PROPOSED]	ORDER
------------	-------

•	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
Pur	Suant to the Stipulation above, the captioned matter is hereby referred to:  Non-binding Arbitration  Early Neutral Evaluation (ENE)  Mediation
✓	Private ADR
Dea ✓	dline for ADR session  90 days from the date of this order. other
IT IS SO O	RDERED
Dated:	

UNITED STATES DISTRICT

JUDGE